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1. Compile draft habitat vulnerability information and regional climate projections.
2. Distribute to 3-5 habitat experts; habitat experts complete online survey using provided information and review draft narrative.
3. Compile and review comments and scores from experts.
4. Finalize assessments.
Vulnerability Assessment

IPCC 2007

Vulnerability is...a function of the *sensitivity* of a particular resource to climate changes, its *exposure* to those changes, and its *capacity to adapt* to those changes.

Purpose of a vulnerability assessment:

Identify what resources are *most vulnerable* and *why*

\[ V = E \times S - AC \]
### Assessing Exposure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Climate Variables</th>
<th>Future Projections</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual Temperature</td>
<td>▲</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation</td>
<td>Shifts from snow to rain, drier summers/wetter winters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snowpack</td>
<td>▼</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Runoff</td>
<td>▲ winter runoff flood frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Earlier spring runoff = prolonged &amp; lower summer flows</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climatic water deficit and drought</td>
<td>▲</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater recharge</td>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildfire</td>
<td>▲</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Measure of how much of a change in climate or other environmental factor a resource is likely to experience.**

Exposure: Low (1) to High (5)

Confidence: Low (1) to High (3)
Assessing Sensitivity

Measure of whether and how a resource is likely to be affected by a given change in climate.

Factors affecting habitat sensitivity:
- Climate drivers
- Disturbance regimes
- Non-climate stressors

Sensitivity: Low (1) to High (5)
Confidence: Low (1) to High (3)
Assessing Adaptive Capacity

Ability to accommodate or cope with climate change impacts with minimal disruption.

Factors affecting habitat adaptive capacity:

- Extent, integrity, continuity, landscape permeability
- Resistance and recovery
- Diversity
- Management potential

Adaptive Capacity: Low (1) to High (5)
Confidence: Low (1) to High (3)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall Habitat Vulnerability</th>
<th>Exposure</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Adaptive Capacity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ALLUVIAL SCRUB</td>
<td>![ exposure ]</td>
<td>![ sensitivity ]</td>
<td>![ adaptive_capacity ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MODERATE-HIGH</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>MODERATE-HIGH</td>
<td>MODERATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Confidence</td>
<td>![ exposure ]</td>
<td>![ sensitivity ]</td>
<td>![ adaptive_capacity ]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Exposure: **Air temp**, fire, drought
- Sensitivity: *Precip, soil moisture, drought, low stream flows*
- Adaptive Capacity: *Degraded, lower continuity and diversity, site restrictions*
  + Resistance/recovery
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall Habitat Vulnerability</th>
<th>Exposure</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Adaptive Capacity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **ALLUVIAL SCRUB**            | ![High](image) Air temp, fire, drought  
  ![High](image) Precip, stream flow  
  ![High](image) Soil moisture | ![Moderate-High](image) Precip, soil moisture, drought, low stream flows  
 ![Moderate-High](image) D: Flooding, fire  
 ![Moderate-High](image) NC: Invasives, dams/water diversions | ![Moderate](image) - Degraded, lower continuity and diversity, site restrictions  
 ![Moderate](image) + Resistance/recovery |
| **GRASSLANDS**               | ![Moderate-High](image) Air temp, fire, drought  
 ![Moderate-High](image) Precip | ![Moderate](image) C: Precip, soil moisture, drought, air temp  
 ![Moderate](image) D: Fire, herbivory  
 ![Moderate](image) NC: Invasives, land use conversion, grazing | ![Moderate](image) - Degraded, lower continuity  
 ![Moderate](image) + Higher extent, diversity, resistance & recovery |
| **CHAPARRAL**                | ![Low-Moderate](image) Air temp, fire  
 ![Low-Moderate](image) Precip | ![Low-Moderate](image) C: Drought  
 ![Low-Moderate](image) D: Fire  
 ![Low-Moderate](image) NC: Invasives, land use conversion | ![Moderate](image) - Degraded, lower resistance  
 ![Moderate](image) + Higher diversity, extent, continuity, and recovery potential |
## Relative Vulnerability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HABITAT</th>
<th>VULNERABILITY SCORE</th>
<th>CONFIDENCE SCORE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pinyon-Juniper</td>
<td>Moderate-High</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alluvial Scrub</td>
<td>Moderate-High</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riparian</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desert</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River &amp; Streams</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endemcis</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conifers</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sage Scrub</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grasslands</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subalpine</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oak Woodland</td>
<td>Low-Moderate</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chaparral</td>
<td>Low-Moderate</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Confidence

Vulnerability

Low V/Low C

Low V/Moderate C

Low V/High C

Moderate V/Moderate C

Moderate V/High C

High V/High C

- Oak Woodlands
- Chaparral

- Desert
- Conifers
- Rivers & Streams
- Sage Scrub

- Pinyon-Juniper
- Alluvial Scrub

- Riparian
- Endemisms
- Grasslands
- Subalpine

- Oak Woodlands
- Chaparral

- Desert
- Conifers
- Rivers & Streams
- Sage Scrub

- Pinyon-Juniper
- Alluvial Scrub

- Riparian
- Endemisms
- Grasslands
- Subalpine
Vulnerability Assessment Trends

Climate Stressors and Disturbance Regimes
- Precipitation variability
- Drought
- Soil moisture
- Wildfire

Non-Climate Stressors
- Invasive species
- Land use conversion

Adaptive Capacity
+ Decent species diversity
+ Provide many ecosystem services
- Fragmented and currently degraded
Products and Applications

- Vulnerability Assessment Report
  - Methodology
  - Climate Impacts Synthesis
  - Individual Habitat Summaries
  - In-depth Habitat Syntheses

- Habitat Vulnerability and Adaptation Strategy Briefings

‘Thank you habitat experts!’
Applying Vulnerability Information in Management Operations

• **Management Assessments**
  – Forest Plan Assessments, Proposed Actions, Watershed Assessments

• **Resource Management Strategies**
  – Conservation strategies, Fire Management Plan, Travel Management Plan, etc.

• **Monitoring Plans**
  – Provides knowledge gaps where monitoring could be implemented
Project Methodology

**Phase 1: Vulnerability Assessment**
- **Step 1** Identify focal resources; gather relevant data and info
- **Step 2** Assess vulnerability of focal resources

**Phase 2: Adaptation Planning**
- **Step 3** Apply assessment results in adaptation planning
- **Step 4** Develop implementation plans for on-the-ground action

**Focal Resources Workshop**

**Vulnerability Assessment Workshop**

**Adaptation Workshop #1**

**Adaptation Workshop #2**
Adaptation Planning Checklist

- Assemble vulnerability assessment results
- Collaboratively identify adaptation strategies and/or options for reducing resource vulnerabilities
- Synthesize adaptation strategies into final adaptation report

Dashed lines indicate stakeholder collaboration
**Defining Adaptation**

*Adaptation* refers to efforts to reduce the negative effects of or respond to climate change.

*Adaptation actions* explicitly incorporate climate considerations, and aim to alleviate the impacts of climate change by increasing resilience and/or decreasing vulnerability.
Vulnerability

Resistance

Increase Knowledge

Resilience

Engage Coordination

Transition
1. Reviewed draft vulnerability assessment findings

2. Evaluated and revised current management actions based on potential climate vulnerabilities

3. Identified possible new management actions that address vulnerabilities

4. Evaluated current monitoring indicators in the context of climate change
## Current Adaptation Example: Chaparral & Sage Scrub

### Current Management Goal: Create habitat by restoring five acres per year of chaparral/sage scrub

**Potential vulnerabilities:**
- Decreased seed germination due to drought/temperature increases
- Death of plants due to drought, competition with xeriscape plants, herbivory due to loss of other food sources
- Changes in species composition and reductions in species diversity; future type conversion
- Increased growth of invasive plants and/or changes in composition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current Management Action</th>
<th>Current Effectiveness</th>
<th>Current Feasibility</th>
<th>Action Helps Address Vulnerabilities?</th>
<th>Continue to Implement Given Climate Vulnerabilities?</th>
<th>Where/How to Implement</th>
<th>Other Resource Considerations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Remove weeds              | Moderate              | High                | Yes – invasive weed control, prevents weed expansion, reduces weed seed bank for natural plant germination in good years | Yes | Where: Weed areas that currently have some coastal sage scrub to enhance rather than restore from ground up  
How: Continue or increase weed control | Other resources action benefits: Clearing weeds will decrease impact on habitat  
Other resources with potential conflicts: None identified |
| Install native plants and seeds | Moderate              | High                | Yes – reduces fragmentation, and erosion, increases soil moisture and species diversity; creates seed bank for germination | Yes | Where: Select restoration areas that are less likely to dry out/less likely to suffer from heat (slopes, along coast, in canyons)  
How: Increase species in plantings that are hardy; focus on longer scale restoration (but possibly less intensively) | Other resources action benefits: California gnatcatcher, cactus wren, rare plant species  
Other resources with potential conflicts: Water use |
| Water plants to ensure establishment | Moderate              | Moderate            | Yes – helps to ensure success and establishment of native species | Maybe | Where: North slopes or shaded areas to maintain soil moisture  
How: Continuing to water may not be feasible or effective | Other resources action benefits: Helps habitat restoration  
Other resources with potential conflicts: None |
## Future Adaptation Example: Conifer & Subalpine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adaptation Action</th>
<th>Effectiveness</th>
<th>Feasibility</th>
<th>Timeframe</th>
<th>Where/How to Implement</th>
<th>Collaboration &amp; Capacity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Facilitate migration of co-adapted species | High | Moderate | Mid | Where: Vegetation transition zones – at lower and upper elevational limits  
How: Identify species associations where one of several co-adapted species is limiting the migration rate of all, and move the limiting species (e.g., Cleveland NF – bring/reintroduce pinyon from escarpment up to top of Mt. Laguna) | External collaboration: Scientists, researchers, ecologists, entomologists; state and county parks, CDFW, USFWS, BLM, other land managers  
Internal collaboration: GIS, consulting biologists involved in planning (habitat conservation plans), etc.  
Capacity needed: Monitoring for movement (GIS models); funding for studies, surveys, and analyses; niche analysis and risk analysis (pay local experts to identify likely assemblages and impacts on other species and assemblages, other vegetation/communities); identify/use surrogate species to indicate habitat shift |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Management Goal: Maintain and/or enhance biodiversity of shifting communities and species</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Management Goal: Improve stand resilience</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Track and manage groundwater extraction both on and off the forest to prevent drawdown and promote forest resilience in the face of drought and higher temperatures | Moderate – High | Low | Long | Where: Watersheds in and adjacent to coniferous forest; focus on transition zones first and more mesic sites with highest chance of improving condition  
How: Identify status of water rights; engage with landowners; monitor groundwater levels to quantify change; target acquisitions in areas where protecting groundwater is a priority | External collaboration: State Water Resources Control Board, state, legislators, NGOs, Office of General Counsel  
Internal collaboration: Hydrologists, silviculturists, lawyers  
Capacity needed: Data, political and public will, legal/policy change, funding |
## Compiled Adaptation Strategies Example: Alluvial Scrub

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adaptation Category</th>
<th>Adaptation Strategy</th>
<th>Specific Adaptation Actions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Enhance resistance** | Restore native species to disturbed areas | • *Restore habitat with native species that are tolerant of disturbed conditions*  
• Build up a reserve of seeds and plants that are tolerant of disturbed conditions |
| | Restore fluvial processes to streams that support alluvial scrub vegetation | • *Remove dikes, mining operations, and recharge basins that obstruct the migration ability of streams and sediment deposition areas*  
• Require undeveloped buffers along streams  
• *Raise roads out of washes* |
| **Promote resilience** | Maintain and/or restore the natural and historical characteristics of a watershed | • Designate critical habitat where the most sensitive species are found, and in areas where the home ranges of several species overlap |
| | Improve ability to confidently source plants for alluvial scrub restoration | • *Conduct a common garden experiment which includes plants from across the species’ range in order to understand the level of adaptive variation within the population* |
| **Facilitate transition** | Identify and protect refugia | • Protect areas that may be buffered from the effects of climate change, including microhabitats that may provide cooler temperatures or maintain higher soil moisture during periods of drought |
| | Improve habitat restoration tools to support the ability of plants and animals to respond to changing climate conditions | • Work on developing habitat restoration techniques that will be successful under future climate conditions  
• Use species distribution modeling to improve understanding and acceptance of facilitated migration for plant species |
| **Increase knowledge** | Maintain the natural and historical characteristics of a watershed | • Research historical ranges of flora and fauna  
• *Compile information on species ecology, range, and genetics to create detailed profiles* |
| | Map species distributions to understand potential habitat loss or gain and improve restoration | • *Develop species distribution models (SDMs) under four different climate scenarios; include additional factors that could contribute to species shifts (e.g., water deficits)*  
• Use joint species distribution modeling to look at multiple species within a habitat or community simultaneously, incorporating multiple threats  
• *Survey the vegetation and environment to aid in the design of a plant palette with species suited for various positions within an alluvial fan or watercourse, then update survey as habitat suitability changes under future climate conditions* |
| **Engage coordination** | Work across jurisdictions | • Coordinate invasive species management, funding, and support between agencies  
• Communicate about projects and coordinate on-the-ground activities  
• Align budgets and program work priorities with adjacent lands |
Products and Applications

- Adaptation Report
  - Methodology
  - Individual Adaptation Summaries
    - Compiled actions, actions linked with vulnerabilities, F/E figures
  - In-depth Adaptation Sections
  - Monitoring Indicators
- Habitat Vulnerability and Adaptation Briefs
Applying Adaptation Strategies in Management Operations

• **Planning Documents**
  – Forest Plan Objectives, Standards & Guidelines, Actions & Management Approaches

• **Resource Management Strategies**
  – Conservation strategies, Fire Management Plan, Travel Management Plan, etc.

• **Project Design and Implementation**

“If available and needed to support restoration activities, projects should use native seed species appropriate for the ecological unit...Consider the effects of climate change in selecting appropriate seed.”

“Coordinate with research and other organizations to evaluate the potential effects of climate change on the spread of invasive, non-native species.”
Products and Applications

• Full syntheses/reports (complete literature review)
  – Forest Plan Assessments, Proposed Actions
  – Sierra Nevada, Nez Perce-Clearwater NF

• Summaries and Briefs
  – Washington SWAP climate watch list
  – Gulf of the Farallones

• Project-level efforts
  – Point Blue evaluation of meadow restoration activities
    (what to do, where based on climate vulnerability info)
A big thank you to our habitat experts!